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1. Is FQDN usage mandatory or we can continue to use the IPs which FQDN is resolved to?
   The usage of FQDNs for TLS certificates is not mandatory but is recommended to ensure the highest level of security and to avoid potential compatibility and maintenance issues. Please refer to Question 1.10 in the FAQ document.

2. What is the latency impact?
   Please refer to Question 1.8 in the FAQ document.

3. Why is full encryption mandatory for Co-Location LF session while for PS sessions password encryption is sufficient?
   Please refer to Question 2.5 in the FAQ document.

4. Are there plans to offer TLS 1.3 in addition, or instead of TLS 1.2?
   Please refer to Question 1.14 in the FAQ document.

5. Is the use if FQDN mandatory when working with network providers and accessing LF via NAT ip?
   The usage of FQDNs for TLS certificates is not mandatory but is recommended to ensure the highest level of security and to avoid potential compatibility and maintenance issues. Please refer to Question 1.10 in the FAQ document.

6. If clients are using referential data downloaded via files (CRE technology), is this release also applicable for referential files?
   The Common Report Engine (CRE), Reference Data files and the Market Data Interfaces are not affected by Interface Encryption.

7. Is there a controversy between saying that the "application level" is not affected, but needing to adapt the application?
   After establishing the TCP connection, you must implement the TLS handshake. After establishing that TLS tunnel, no further change required.

8. Does TLS affect internal NATting of source addresses?
   Currently we are not aware of any issues where TLS affects internal NATting.
9. Why did you decide to support TLS 1.2 instead of TLS 1.3?
   Please refer to Question 1.14 in the FAQ document.

10. Do clients need to change their application code or is it just configuration change on the client trading server?
    This depends on the application.

11. Is "payload" level encryption meant or just the login message?
    The payload encryption covers all messages including the user/session login.

12. Is payload encryption mandatory only for ETI LF sessions, or for ETI HF sessions too?
    Payload encryption is only relevant for FIX LF and ETI LF (outside of the Equinix FR2 co-location facility). For ETI HF sessions password encryption only must be applied. Please refer to Question 2.5 in the FAQ document.

13. Is Deutsche Börse going to provide an example of setting up Stunnel for certificates?
    No, we do not intend to provide any information regarding the setup of Stunnel.

14. Are there plans to encrypt more services, e.g., T7-GUIs, CRE, CUE, etc.?
    Currently there are no immediate plans to encrypt any further services.

15. Why do LF session inside Co-Location need encryption as the regulator are saying it is applicable over public networks only?
    Please refer to Question 2.5 in the FAQ document.

16. What is the rationale behind mandating payload encryption for LF session inside of Deutsche Börse’s Equinix FR2?
    Please refer to Question 2.5 in the FAQ document.

17. The KRITIS regulation says payload needs to be encrypted over a public network. If clients have a private network in the Co-Location and have LF sessions in the Co-Location, why do they need to encrypt payload given they are not in breach of the regulation?
    Please refer to Question 2.5 in the FAQ document.
18. If clients are not able to use FQDN due to firewall and security requirements, are there any impacts for them?
   The usage of FQDNs for TLS certificates is not mandatory but is recommended to ensure the highest level of security and to avoid potential compatibility and maintenance issues. Please refer to Question 1.10 in the FAQ document.

19. Is deutsche Börse taking care of FQDNs in DR scenarios as well (i.e., FQDN resolving to Simu IPs instead of Prod IPs)?
   Yes, the FQDNs for the DR scenario are documented in the "T7 Disaster Recovery Concept 2023" document which is available on the Eurex and Xetra websites.

20. If client’s configuration is only allowing IP address, is there any guide on how to configure IP addresses for TLS?
   The usage of FQDNs for TLS certificates is not mandatory but is recommended to ensure the highest level of security and to avoid potential compatibility and maintenance issues. Please refer to Question 1.10 in the FAQ document.

21. Can clients add simple Stunnel installation with tls 1.2 to encrypt their current drop copiesession?
   Please refer to Question 1.14 in the FAQ document.

22. Does Deutsche Börse have experience in using Stunnel?
   Please refer to Question 1.14 in the FAQ document.

23. Does the DIGICERT certificate have a validity date? Do the clients get an info from the exchange when the certificate is ending?
   Please refer to Question 3.4 in the FAQ document.
24. Client has already TLS implemented, but following issues arises: There are two failover IPs provided, but only on one interface client gets a valid SSL answer which prevents automatic failover by the fix engine. Will this be changed in future?

Only the active FIX LF gateway accepts TLS handshakes. The secondary FIX LF gateway only processes TCP accepts, but no further payload including TLS handshakes. There are no plans to change this behavior.

25. The FQDN in Network Access guide 2.2.4 is wrong: The FQDN for standby and Active gateway is the same. Page 47, https://www.eurex.com/resource/blob/3431832/44d6ac66032f200c51af96af43ee0c01/data/N7_-_Network_Access_Guide.v.2.2.4.pdf

Yes, unfortunately there was a small mistake with version 2.2.4 of the Network Access Guide. The mistake has been corrected in Version 2.2.5 which has been published in line with the system documentation for Release 11.1.

Will EEX and the Partner Exchanges be migrated to TLS 1.2?

Yes, EEX will also be migrated to TLS 1.2 as will all the other partner exchanges. The timeline for EEX and the partner exchanges is identical to the one for Xetra and Eurex and which has been communicated. Please refer to Question 1.2 in the FAQ document.

26. Reg. ETI HF sessions in Co-Location: Are clients only required to encrypt the password field on session and user logon? Do they need to use new message templates?

Only password encryption is required for ETI HF sessions. Please refer to the ETI manual (for more ETI details) and to Question 3.3 in the FAQ document for more information.

27. Are HF sessions (in Co-Location) encrypted by TLS from start to end of the connection? The documentation said it was only the "password" field of the Login message - and that the rest of the communication would be in the clear. An earlier answer seemed to say otherwise.

Only password encryption is required for ETI HF sessions. Please refer to the ETI manual (for more ETI details) and to Question 3.3 in the FAQ document for more information.
28. **Just to get this clear: once a year we need to download your certificate and put into a local truststore?**
   No, that is not required. Please refer to Question 3.4 in the FAQ document.

29. **Can openssl be used for password encryption? If yes, is there a minimum version?**
    The password encryption protocol is proprietary and must be implemented according to the ETI Manual (chapter 5.3.3. Password Encryption). OpenSSL can be helpful for the cryptographic part of the protocol (RSA encryption: padding schema OAEP, the mask generation function MGF1 and the hash function SHA256).

30. **Ich intermediate or root will be replaced before expiration will we receive a note**
    No. The server and the intermediate certificate are provided by the server during TLS handshake. Please refer to Question 3.4 in the FAQ document.